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#### Abstract

Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}\left\{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right) \mid-\right.\) $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right], \mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Rh}, M_{r}=936 \cdot 6$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$, $a=18.241$ (7),$\quad b=10.512$ (5), $\quad c=21.995$ (7) $\AA, \quad \beta$ $=104.43(6)^{\circ}, \quad V=4085(3) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $1.523 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=0.71073 \AA, \mu=6.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $F(000)=1909, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.056$ for 4512 observed reflections. The Rh atom is pentacoordinated by the P atoms of the triphosphine ligand $1,2,3$-tris (diphenylphosphino)propane, $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos, and by the midpoints of the coordinated double bonds of the 8,9,10-trinorbornadiene ligand, nbd. The metal coordination sphere is essentially a trigonal bipyramid with one olefinic residue in axial position and the other in equatorial position.


Introduction. In the course of an investigation on rhodium complexes with the tripod-like tridentate ligand $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{3}$, triphos, the new tripod-like ligand $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos, was synthesized in order to study whether changes in the triphos geometry could affect the properties of triphos complexes (Ott, 1986).

The main difference between the two ligands is in the lack of a methylene group in $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos, so that, on coordination to a metal centre, $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos forms a rigid backbone consisting of two five- and one six-membered rings.

triphos $=\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{3}$

$\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos $=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$

As in triphos, the structure of this ligand only allows small variations of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{P}$ angles from the ideal values of $90^{\circ}$, so that some facial coordination geometries can be obtained only with strong distortions.

The present work deals with the first structure of a complex containing the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos ligand.

Experimental. Crystals were obtained from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ solution; Nicolet R 3 four-circle diffractometer; graphite-monochromatized Mo $K \alpha$ radiation;

Table 1. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters with their e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{cu}}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rhl | 0.04531 (3) | 0.03812 (5) | 0.31446 (3) | 0.0273 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.0554 (1) | 0.2124 (2) | 0.3834 (1) | 0.031 (1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.1454 (1) | $0 \cdot 1366$ (2) | 0.2845 (1) | 0.032 (1) |
| P(3) | 0.0322 (1) | 0.1764 (2) | 0.2455 (1) | 0.032 (1) |
| C(1) | 0.0661 (5) | 0.3397 (7) | 0.3272 (4) | 0.036 (3) |
| C(2) | 0.1351 (5) | $0 \cdot 3083$ (8) | 0.2984 (4) | 0.039 (3) |
| C(3) | -0.0082 (5) | 0.3391 (8) | 0.2763 (4) | 0.042 (3) |
| C(6) | $0 \cdot 1307$ (4) | 0.2332 (8) | 0.4549 (4) | 0.04 (13) |
| C(7) | 0.1871 (5) | 0.3247 (9) | 0.4626 (4) | 0.04 (16) |
| C(8) | 0.2433 (6) | 0.3295 (10) | 0.5190 (5) | 0.06 (20) |
| C(9) | 0.2443 (6) | 0.2438 (11) | 0.5648 (5) | 0.06 (22) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.1885 (6) | 0.1539 (10) | 0.5586 (5) | 0.06 (21) |
| C(II) | 0.1313 (5) | 0.1475 (9) | 0.5031 (4) | 0.05 (16) |
| C(12) | 0.0249 (5) | 0.2626 (8) | 0.4127 (4) | 0.04 (14) |
| C(13) | 0.0786 (5) | 0.1759 (9) | 0.4204 (5) | 0.05 (18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -0.1406 (6) | 0.2136 (11) | 0.4424 (5) | 0.07 (23) |
| C(15) | 0.1492 (6) | 0.3376 (11) | 0.4562 (5) | 0.06 (22) |
| C(16) | -0.0966 (6) | 0.4270 (10) | 0.4495 (5) | 0.06 (22) |
| C(17) | -0.0340 (5) | $0 \cdot 3901$ (9) | 0.4275 (4) | 0.05 (18) |
| C(18) | $0 \cdot 2420$ (4) | $0 \cdot 1030$ (8) | 0.3305 (3) | 0.03 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $0 \cdot 2527$ (5) | 0.0630 (8) | 0.3922 (4) | 0.04 (15) |
| C(20) | 0.3257 (6) | 0.0424 (10) | 0.4290 (5) | 0.06 (18) |
| C(21) | 0.3867 (6) | 0.0626 (10) | 0.4047 (5) | 0.06 (19) |
| C(22) | 0.3771 (6) | 0.0981 (11) | 0.3433 (5) | 0.06 (21) |
| C(23) | $0 \cdot 3035$ (5) | 0.1203 (9) | 0.3054 (4) | 0.05 (17) |
| C(24) | 0.1575 (4) | 0.1181 (7) | $0 \cdot 2056$ (4) | 0.03 (13) |
| C(25) | 0.1647 (5) | 0.2178 (10) | $0 \cdot 1657$ (5) | 0.05 (18) |
| C(26) | 0.1743 (7) | $0 \cdot 1900$ (12) | 0.1062 (6) | 0.07 (24) |
| C(27) | 0.1780 (6) | 0.0676 (10) | 0.0869 (5) | 0.06 (22) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $0 \cdot 1723$ (5) | -0.0314 (10) | 0.1258 (4) | 0.06 (18) |
| C(29) | $0 \cdot 1606$ (5) | -0.0076 (8) | $0 \cdot 1846$ (4) | 0.04 (17) |
| C(30) | -0.0296 (5) | $0 \cdot 1832$ (8) | 0.1627 (4) | 0.04 (14) |
| C(31) | 0.0440 (5) | $0 \cdot 2956$ (9) | $0 \cdot 1290$ (4) | 0.05 (16) |
| C(32) | . 0.0470 (5) | $0 \cdot 2960$ (9) | 0.0650 (4) | 0.05 (18) |
| C(33) | -0.0361 (6) | 0.1833 (10) | 0.0354 (5) | 0.06 (20) |
| C(34) | -0.0241 (6) | 0.0718 (10) | 0.0688 (5) | 0.06 (20) |
| C(35) | -0.0182 (5) | 0.0703 (8) | 0.1330 (4) | 0.05 (17) |
| C(36) | -0.1347 (5) | $0 \cdot 1544$ (8) | 0.2372 (4) | 0.04 (15) |
| C(37) | -0.1750 (8) | 0.2241 (13) | 0.2690 (6) | 0.08 (28) |
| C(38) | . 0.2530 (11) | $0 \cdot 1946$ (18) | 0.2610 (8) | $0 \cdot 11$ (42) |
| C(39) | -0.2868 (10) | 0.0993 (16) | 0.2232 (7) | 0.10 (35) |
| C(40) | -0.2442 (7) | 0.0300 (13) | 0.1911 (6) | 0.08 (26) |
| C(41) | $0 \cdot 1680$ (6) | 0.0566 (10) | $0 \cdot 1988$ (5) | 0.06 (20) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | 0.0479 (7) | -0.2333 (11) | 0.2987 (5) | 0.067 (5) |
| C(43) | -0.0139 (6) | -0.1373 (10) | 0.2744 (5) | 0.053 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | -0.0398 (5) | -0.0964 (8) | 0.3276 (4) | 0.044 (3) |
| C(45) | 0.0098 (6) | $-0.1681(10)$ | 0.3824 (4) | 0.059 (4) |
| C(46) | 0.0859 (6) | -0.1089 (9) | 0.3889 (5) | 0.055 (4) |
| C(47) | $0 \cdot 1094$ (5) | -0.1464 (8) | 0.3360 (4) | 0.045 (3) |
| C(48) | 0.0213 (7) | -0.2990 (9) | $0 \cdot 3507$ (5) | 0.065 (4) |
| P(4) | $0 \cdot 1136$ (2) | 0.3134 (3) | 0.9002 (2) | 0.055 (1) |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 0.0416 (5) | $0 \cdot 2248$ (9) | 0.8857 (5) | $0 \cdot 122$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 0.1791 (5) | 0.4091 (11) | 0.9080 (6) | 0.154 (6) |
| F(3) | 0.0709 (6) | 0.3850 (10) | 0.8382 (4) | 0.129 (5) |
| F(4) | $0 \cdot 1519$ (5) | 0.2228 (9) | 0.8609 (4) | 0.113 (4) |
| $F(5)$ | 0.0730 (5) | $0 \cdot 4032$ (9) | 0.9380 (5) | 0.117 (4) |
| F(6) | 0.1532 (7) | 0.2451 (12) | 0.9588 (4) | $0 \cdot 180$ (6) |

crystal size $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}$; unit-cell dimensions determined with 15 reflections ( $20 \leq 2 \theta \leq 26^{\circ}$ ); 10600 reflections measured in the range $3 \leq 2 \theta \leq 60^{\circ}$, $h=0$ to $20, k=0$ to $11, l=-22$ to $22 ; 4512$ reflections with $I \geq 3 \sigma(I)$ considered observed; $\omega$-scan mode, with scan rate depending on the intensities. Three standards measured every 100 reflections, no significant change; data processed to yield values of $I$ and $\sigma(I)$ (Bachechi, Zambonelli \& Marcotrigiano, 1977); in the estimation of $\sigma(I)$ the uncertainty factor was $p=0.028$ as calculated from the variance of the standard reflections (McCandlish, Stout \& Andrews, 1975). Corrections for Lorentz and polarization. An empirical absorption correction applied using $\psi$ scans of reflections at $\chi$
angles about $90^{\circ}$; minimum normalized transmission factors in the range $0.66-0.70$. Structure solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by fullmatrix least-squares procedures, function $\sum w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\right.$ $\left.\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ with $w=4 F_{o}{ }^{2} / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{\theta}{ }^{2}\right)$ minimized. Heavier atoms, except the C atoms of the phenyl rings, with anisotropic thermal parameters and H atoms, at calculated positions, with fixed coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters (270 parameters refined). Convergence at $R=0.056$ ( $w R=0.074, S=0.28$ ). $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {ma }}=0.04$; final difference map with $\Delta \rho$ in the range $\pm 0.30$ e $\AA{ }^{3}$. All calculations performed on the Data General Eclipse MV8000II computer using local programs (Cerrini \& Spagna, 1977). Scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Final atomic parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms are given in Table 1.*

Discussion. The crystal structure consists of discrete $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd})\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \text { triphos) }\right]^{+}\right.$(nbd = norbornadiene) cations and $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ anions with no short contacts between atoms of different ions.

A perspective view of the cationic complex is shown in Fig. 1. Table 2 lists a selection of intramolecular distances and angles with their standard deviations.

The Rh atom is five-coordinated by the three P atoms of the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos ligand and by the double bonds of the chelating norbornadiene ligand. The geometry of the inner coordination sphere of the cationic complex is

[^0]

Fig. 1. Perspective view of the complex cation $\mid \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd})$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos) $]^{+}$along the pseudo- $C_{3}$ axis. The ellipsoids represent $30 \%$ probability.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
$M P(1)$ is the midpoint of the $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ double bond and $M P(2)$ is the midpoint of the $C(46)-C(47)$ double bond.

| Rh-P(1) | $2 \cdot 357$ (2) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.815 (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rh-P(2) | 2.332 (2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.851 (9) |
| R $h_{3}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2 \cdot 311$ (2) | $P(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.836 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-M_{P(1)}$ | 2.068 (13) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 1.847 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-M P(2)$ | 2.135 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.578 (11) |
| Rh-C(43) | 2.206 (11) | C(1)-C(3) | 1.528(14) |
| Rh-C(44) | 2.171 (9) | C(42)-C(43) | 1.508(17) |
| Rh--C(46) | 2.239 (11) | C(42)-C(48) | 1.515 (18) |
| Rh-C(47) | 2.253 (9) | C(43)-C(44) | 1.432(13) |
| P(1)-C(1) | 1.865 (8) | C(44)-C(45) | 1.516 (15) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.824 (9) | C(45)-C(46) | 1.495 (16) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.819 (8) | C(45)-C(48) | 1.581 (14) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.849 (8) | C(46)-C(47) | 1.392 (13) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.835 (9) | C(47)-C(42) | 1.520 (16) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 84.0 (1) | $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 106.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 82.6 (1) | Rh-P(3)-C(30) | 120.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(1)$ | $130 \cdot 5$ (4) | Rh-P(3)-C(36) | 115.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(2)$ | 111.3(4) | C(3)-P(3)-C(30) | $105 \cdot 3$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 85.9 (1) | C(3)-P(3)-C(36) | $107 \cdot 1$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(1)$ | 145.5 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $100 \cdot 5$ (4) |
| $P(2)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(2)$ | 102.5 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $110 \cdot 0$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(1)$ | 97.9 (4) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 105.3 (5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(2)$ | 164.3 (4) | $\mathbf{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 113.3 (5) |
| $\mathrm{MP}(1)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(2)$ | 67.6 (5) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 111.0 (6) |
| Rh-P(1)-C(1) | 97.8 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 110.7 (7) |
| Rh-P(1)-C(6) | 124.7(3) | C(42)-C(43)-C(44) | 106.4 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 120.9 (3) | C(43)-C(44)-C(45) | 104.3 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 107.7 (4) | C(44)-C(45)-C(46) | $103 \cdot 0$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 104.7 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | 101.5 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 99.2 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $100 \cdot 5$ (8) |
| $\mathbf{R h}-\mathbf{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 105.5 (3) | C(45)-C(46)-C(47) | 105.9 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 118.1 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $92 \cdot 3$ (8) |
| Rh-P(2)-C(24) | 120.9 (3) | C(46)-C(47)-C(42) | 106.4 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 102.7(4) | C(47)-C(42)-C(43) | $100 \cdot 0$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 107.7(4) | C(47)-C(42)-C(48) | 101.5 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $100 \cdot 3$ (4) | C(48)-C(42)-C(43) | 100.7 (9) |

illustrated in Fig. 2. The arrangement around the Rh atom can be regarded as trigonal bipyramidal with $\mathbf{P}(1), \mathrm{P}(2)$ and one of the olefinic double bonds occupying equatorial positions, while $\mathrm{P}(3)$ and the other olefinic double bond lie in axial positions.

The trigonal-bipyramidal geometry is severely distorted because of the steric requirements of both ligands. The $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos ligand presents $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ angles of $82.6(1), 84.0(1)$ and $85.9(1)^{\circ}$, while the nbd ligand has a bite angle, $M P(1)-\mathrm{Rh}-M P(2),{ }^{*}$ of only $68^{\circ}$.

The $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}$ distances are within the range expected. The distances from the Rh to the equatorial olefin C atoms |2.171 (9) and 2.206 (11) $\AA \mid$ are shorter than those to the axial olefin C atoms $12 \cdot 239$ (11) and 2.253 (9) $\AA \mid$. Accordingly, the equatorial $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ distance $[1.432(13) \AA]$ is longer than the axial $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ distance $\mid 1.392$ (13) $\AA \mid$. The difference between the axial and the equatorial distances fits a pattern observed in other pentacoordinate Rh - and Ir-diene complexes (Churchill \& Lin, 1974; Hughes, Krishmanachari, Loch, Powell \& Turner, 1977). In the related complex $\mid\left.\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd})($ triphos $)\right|^{+}$(Bachechi, Ott \& Venanzi, 1989) the coordination geometry of the Rh atom can be described as square-pyramidal with both midpoints of

[^1]the olefinic double bonds approximately in the equatorial plane. The distances from the metal to the midpoints of the coordinated double bonds $\mid 2.055$ (9) and $2 \cdot 115(9) \AA$ are comparable with those in the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos complex $\mid 2 \cdot 068$ (13) and $2 \cdot 135$ (12) $\AA \mid$, but the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths do not present a sensible lengthening on coordination $[1.334$ (13) $\AA$ ].

A common feature between the two complexes, which is related to the tripod-like geometry of the two triphosphine ligands, is that the nbd ligand can accommodate in the coordination sphere of the Rh triphosphine unit only with the coordinated double bonds lying in a plane parallel to that passing through the three P atoms. A $90^{\circ}$ rotation of the diene ligand around the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(48)$ direction, which maintains the two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the same plane, theoretically allows an interconversion from a square-pyramidal to a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry and vice versa in both complexes, but this is actually prevented by short contacts between the H atoms of the nbd ligand and those of the phenyl rings of the triphosphine ligands.

Although the two ligands differ substantially in only one methylene group, $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos seems more flexible and allows the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ angles to close towards the axial $P$ atom [ $82.6(1), 84.0(1)$ and $85.9(1)^{\circ}$ ] so that the nbd ligand can coordinate according to a trigonalbipyramidal geometry.

Bond distances and angles within the nbd ligand are normal. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances average to $1.52 \AA$. The bond angles of the type $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$


Fig. 2. Inner coordination sphere of the Rh atom. The ellipsoids represent $30 \%$ probability.
average to $105.8^{\circ}$, those centred at the bridgehead C atoms average to $101 \cdot 5^{\circ}$, and the angle centred at the carbon atom of the bridging apex, i.e. $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(48)-$ $\mathrm{C}(45)$, is 92.3 (8) ${ }^{\circ}$.

The $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ bond distances are significantly different from one another $\mid R h-P(1)=2.357(2), R h-P(2)$ $=2.332(2), R h-P(3)=2.311(2) \AA \mid$ and fall in the middle to high part of the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}$ range generally observed in tertiary phosphine complexes of $\mathrm{Rh}^{1}$.

Dimensions in the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ triphos ligand are normal. Deviations from an ideal geometry are present in the bond angles and mainly resemble those observed for the triphos ligand in the $\mid\left.\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd})($ triphos $)\right|^{1}$ complex except for the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {alhyl }}$ angles which average $103.4^{\circ}$, while they average $110.0^{\circ}$ in the triphos complex.

The relative orientations of the phenyl groups are shown in Fig. 1. The phenyl rings $\varphi_{4}$ and $\varphi_{5}$ are roughly parallel |the dihedral angle between their planes is $14.6(3)^{\circ} \mid$ and give rise to a graphite-like interaction (about $3^{\circ} \AA$ spacing). This type of non-bonded interaction, which tends to occur between phenyl groups in phenylphosphines (Tolman, 1977), can only be established between $\varphi_{4}$ and $\varphi_{5}$ because they are 1,3-axial substituents in the basal part of the boat-like sixmembered ring $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ (scheme, Fig. 2). The other phenyl rings, even if free to rotate, could not interact similarly: $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{6}$ are 1,3 -axial substituents in the five-membered ring $R h-P(1)-$ $C(1)-C(3)-P(3)$ in a twist conformation with $P(1)$ and
$\mathrm{C}(1)$ at the maximum puckering; $\varphi_{2}$ is axial and $\varphi_{3}$ is bisectional in the five-membered ring $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-$ $C(2)-P(2)$ in an envelope conformation with $P(1)$ at the tip (scheme, Fig. 2). A graphite-like interaction is also observed in the triphos complex $\mid \mathrm{IrCl}(\mathrm{CO})$ (triphos)| (Bachechi, Janser \& Venanzi, 1985).

The bond lengths and angles in the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ anion are normal with $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$ bond lengths between 1.55 and $1.59 \AA$ and $F-P-F$ angles between 88 and $92^{\circ}$ (Lang \& Hope, 1976).
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#### Abstract

Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right|\left(M_{r}=927 \cdot 6\right)\) is a tetracoordinate square-planar complex of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$, with a salicylideneaminato bidentate ligand ( $L$ ) having two long-chain substituents, which displays thermotropic behaviour. $\left\lceil\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}(L)_{2} \mid\right.$ is trans square planar with $C_{i}$ molecular symmetry in the crystalline state. Crystals are monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / a, a=5.961$ (5), $b=15.018$ (4), $c=27.881(7) \AA, \beta=94.80(4)^{\circ}, V=2487(2) \AA^{3}, Z$ $=2, \quad D_{m}($ flotation $)=1.25(5), \quad D_{x}=1.237 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, $\lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=1.54178 \AA, \mu=35.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{\prime}, F(000)=984$,
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$T=298 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.065$ for 3737 observed independent reflections. The molecule has a flattened shape with the long-chain substituents in zigzag planar conformation roughly parallel to each other. The dihedral angle between the planar salicylideneaminato group and the N -bonded phenyl group is $62(2)^{\circ}$.

Introduction. The study of the relationships between molecular structure and liquid-crystal propertics of organic compounds is based on the crystal struc-
© 1989 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H -atom parameters and another view of the molecule have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51647 (27 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHl 2HU, England.

[^1]:    * $M P(1)$ is the midpoint of $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ and $M P(2)$ is the midpoint of $C(46)-C(47)$.

